Learning to Converse with Noisy Data: Generation with Calibration
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Abstract

The availability of abundant conversational data on the Internet brought prosperity to the generation-based open domain conversation systems. In the training of the generation models, existing methods generally treat all the training data equivalently. However, the data crawled from the websites may contain many noises. Blindly training with the noisy data could harm the performance of the final generation model. In this paper, we propose a generation with calibration framework, that allows high quality data to have more influences on the generation model and reduces the effect of noisy data. Specifically, for each instance in training set, we employ a calibration network to produce a quality score for it, then the score is used for the weighted update of the generation model parameters. Experiments show that the calibrated model outperforms baseline methods on both automatic evaluation metrics and human annotations.

1 Introduction

With the boom of on-line social media and community question-answering platforms, the amount of human-human conversation data available on public websites is growing rapidly. These large volumes of resources stimulate great interests of researchers in building human-computer conversation systems with data-driven approaches. The past few years have seen the prosperity of data-driven human-computer conversation systems in open domain (a.k.a non-task oriented dialogue systems). Previous methods can be roughly categorized into two groups: retrieval-based systems [Hu et al., 2014; Lu and Li, 2013; Yan et al., 2016] and generation-based systems [Ritter et al., 2011; Vinyals and Le, 2015; Shang et al., 2015; Serban et al., 2016].

Inspired by the success of deep learning models for machine translation [Cho et al., 2014; Sutskever et al., 2014; Bahdanau et al., 2014], many researchers adopted recurrent neural networks (RNNs) to build generation-based conversation systems. A widely used framework is sequence-to-sequence (seq2seq) [Sutskever et al., 2014], which is composed of two RNNs: an encoder and a decoder. Various methods have been proposed to further improve the performance of seq2seq-based conversation systems. Sordoni et al. [2015] considered the conversational context in their generation model. Other work introduced extra information, such as topic [Xing et al., 2017] and personality [Li et al., 2016], into the traditional seq2seq model to promote more informative replies.

Despite considerable efforts made to improve seq2seq models for conversation, previous work generally treats all the conversation data equally, which means each query-reply pair contributes equivalently to the final models. However, the conversations crawled from the Internet contain many noises. As shown in Table 1, in the first case, the reply is completely irrelevant to the query, and even human cannot understand the meaning. In the second example, the reply is universal and boring. This universality will be amplified by the seq2seq training to generate safe replies, such as “I don’t know” and “I think so”, which are suitable for various queries, but are non-informative and uninteresting. Such low quality data will poison the learning process and severely affect the performance of the trained model.

To address the problem of noisy training data, we propose a generation model with calibration mechanism, which automatically evaluates the quality of the training data and promotes the high-quality instances to calibrate the model. We expect the calibrated model to perform better than the naively trained model in terms of the generated responses’ quality.

There are two major challenges of this generation with calibration framework: 1) how to measure the quality of data? 2) how to calibrate the generation network efficiently and effectively based on the data quality? The most accurate and straight-forward way to measure the data quality is to have people manually annotate each instance with a quality score. However, obtaining human annotations is both time consuming and expensive. It is critical to come up with automatic measurements of the data quality.

Recently, significant improvements have been made to the automatic evaluation of the conversation systems. Tao et al. [2017] and Lowe et al. [2017] proposed relatedness-based evaluation metrics that employed matching networks to measure the relatedness between queries and replies. Our generation with calibration network follows Tao et al. [2017] to evaluate the quality of the training data. Specifically, we
We assume a training data set of size $N$ and incorporate it into the training of the generation model. Automatically measures the quality of the training instances during training. The instances' scores will control their contribution to the training to promote high-quality instances and demote low-quality ones.

We conduct experiments using data crawled from a Chinese forum named Douban\(^1\). We implement three generation models under the seq2seq framework as baselines, and two calibrated generation models. Experiments show that the calibration model improves the performance of generation model on both automatic evaluation metrics and human evaluation comparing with the baselines.

The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

- We propose a generation with calibration framework to differentiate the impacts of training instances on the generation model based on their qualities. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to explore the calibration mechanism on generation-based dialog systems.
- We design a neural network architecture for the generation with calibration framework.
- Our experiments demonstrate that modeling the quality of the training data and utilize the information to calibrate the training process is helpful for enhancing the performances of data-driven dialog systems.

2 Generation with Calibration

Most existing data-driven conversation models are trained with massive data crawled from the Internet without explicit modeling of the data quality. However, the low-quality training data such as the examples in Table 1 can be harmful to the training of generation models. To address this problem, we propose a generation with calibration framework which automatically measures the quality of the training instances and incorporates it into the training of the generation model.

2.1 Task Formulation

We assume a training data set of size $N$, denoted as $D = \{(Q^1, R^1), \ldots, (Q^N, R^N)\}$, where $Q$ denotes an utterance and $R$ denotes the response. Formally, given a query $Q = (q_1, \ldots, q_T)$ and its reply $R = (r_1, \ldots, r_T)$, we introduce a calibration model to learn a scoring function $S(R^j, Q^j)$ to rate the quality of the training instances. We then transform the scores to weights $w_j = f_w(S(R^j, Q^j))$, which are incorporated into minimizing weighted loss objective of the training corpora.

2.2 Model Overview

Figure 1 gives an overview of our proposed model. There are two major components: a calibration network and a generation network. The calibration network is trained to measure the quality of the query-reply pairs, and the generation network takes the scores produced by the calibration network to weight the training instances, such that the high-quality instances have more impact on the generation model while the low-quality ones are less influential. The following sections explain the two networks in details and introduce the model training.

2.3 Seq2seq with Attention for Generation

The generation network of our model is based on the sequence-to-sequence model with attention mechanism [Bahdanau et al., 2014]. Given a query $Q = (q_1, \ldots, q_T)$ and a reply $R = (r_1, \ldots, r_T)$, the seq2seq model is trained to maximize the generation probability $P(R|Q)$. Particularly, the encoder transforms $Q$ into an intermediate representation $h$ through a recurrent neural network (RNN), and the decoder generates an output $\hat{R}$ with $h$ as the input.

In this work, we employ a one-layer bidirectional gated recurrent unit (BiGRU) [Cho et al., 2014] as the encoder and $Q$ and $R$ can also represent contextual utterances and responses for multi-turn dialogs. In this paper, we focus on single-turn conversation, and leave the extension to multi-turn systems as future work.

\(^1\)www.douban.com
a one-layer GRU as the decoder. The encoding BiGRU takes the hidden states of both directions and concatenates them to form the final hidden states $h_t = [\overrightarrow{h_t}; \overleftarrow{h_t}]$, where $\overrightarrow{h_t}$ is the forward state and the $\overleftarrow{h_t}$ is the backward state. Each word in a query $Q$ is first mapped to an embedding, then fed into the encoder. The last hidden state $h_T$ of the encoder is taken as the intermediate representation of $Q$.

During decoding, the attention mechanism is employed to generate a response. For the generation of each $\hat{r}_i$ in $\hat{R}$, a context vector $c_i$ is computed as the weighted average of the encoder hidden states, where the weights come from the attention. The $i$-th hidden state of decoder is computed as:

$$s_i = f(r_{i-1}, s_{i-1}, c_i)$$

where $r_{i-1}$ is the $(i-1)$-th word in the response and $f(.)$ is the GRU function. The probability distribution of the candidate words is computed through softmax. The probability of generating a response $R$ is defined as:

$$P(R|Q) = \prod_{i=1}^{T'} p(r_i|Q, r_1, \ldots, r_{i-1})$$

### 2.4 Calibration Network

The goal of the calibration network is to automatically estimate the quality of each query-reply pair in the training data. We follow Tao et al. [2017] and design an evaluation-based calibration network to gauge the quality of the conversational data by measuring the appropriateness of a reply given its query. Given a query $Q = (q_1, \ldots, q_T)$ and its reply $R = (r_1, \ldots, r_{T'})$, two one-layer BiGRUs are applied to transform each into sentence embeddings, respectively.

$$s_Q = BiGRU_q(Q)$$

$$s_R = BiGRU_r(R)$$

We take the last hidden state $s_Q$ and $s_R$ as representation of the query $Q$ and the reply $R$. A scoring function is then trained to calculate appropriateness of a reply given its query as follows:

$$S = f_c(Q, R) = MLP([s_Q; s_R^T W_c; s_R])$$

where $MLP(.)$ is a multi-layer perceptron with tanh activation function. To ensure the output scores are in the range of $[0, 1]$, we add an additional sigmoid function at the last layer of the MLP. $W_c$ is a trainable parameter. $[.]$ denotes concatenation operation. The model structure is shown in Figure 2.

To train the calibration network, we apply negative sampling to release the burden of human annotation. Previous work has shown the effectiveness of negative sampling [Tao et al., 2017; Mou et al., 2016]. Particularly, for query $Q$ and ground truth reply $R$, we randomly sample a $R^-$ from other replies in training set. The training objective is that score of $(Q, R)$ should be larger than $(Q, R^-)$ with at least $\Delta$ threshold. Loss function is given by

$$L_c = \max \{ \Delta - f_c(Q, R) + f_c(Q, R^-), 0 \}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

### 2.5 Generation with Calibration Model Training

The objective function of the vanilla seq2seq model minimizes the negative log probability of the training data $D$ with size $N$ as is shown in Equation 7.

$$L_{seq} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} - \log P(R^j|Q^j)$$

which treats each training instance equally. Our proposed generation with calibration network, on the other hand, treats each instance differently based on its quality estimated by the calibration network. Specifically, our model minimizes the weighted negative log probability of the training data:

$$L_{gc} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} - f_w(S(R^j, Q^j)) \log P(R^j|Q^j)$$

where $S(R^j, Q^j)$ is the instance score produced by a pre-trained calibration network, and $f_w(.)$ is a function that transforms the scores into weights, which is defined as:

$$w_i = f_w(S_i) = \frac{S_i}{\frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=1}^{b} S_k}$$

where $b$ is the batch size. Note that the original seq2seq is a special case of our model with all instances’ weights equal.
to 1. The goal of the weight transformation in Equation 9 is to control the influence of the quality scores on the gradient updates. Specifically, after the transformation, half of the weights will be larger than 1 and another half smaller, and \( \sum_{i=1}^{b} w_i = b \), which indicates the same learning strength as the original seq2seq model. With the instance weighting, the parameters of the generation model are updated by

\[
W_{t+1} = W_t + \frac{lr}{b} \sum_{i=1}^{b} w_i \nabla \mathcal{L}_{2s}(Q^i, R^i) \quad (10)
\]

where \( lr \) is the learning rate of the generation model and \( W_t \) denotes all the parameters in it at \( t \)-th mini-batch. Figure 3 illustrates the training process of our calibrated seq2seq model.

### 2.6 Parameter Estimation

Our model is implemented in Pytorch\(^3\). All of our hyper-parameters are set based on pilot experiments. We adopt Adam [Kingma and Ba, 2014] optimizer with initial learning rates as 0.0002 for the calibration network and 0.0001 for the generation network. We employ mini-batch training with batch size 64 for both the calibration and the generation network. We adopt the learning rate decay trick for training the generation network to halve the learning rate when the perplexity on validation begins to increase.

For the calibration network, we set the word embedding dimension to 200 and the hidden vector size to 256 for both query encoder and reply encoder. The \( \Delta \) for calibration network is set to 0.05. For the generation network, the word embedding dimension is 480 and the hidden vector size for both the encoder and the decoder is 512. All the parameters are initialized randomly. We begin to calibrate our generation network from the second training epoch.

### 3 Experimental Setup

#### 3.1 Dataset

We conduct our experiments on a large dataset crawled from Douban, which is a Chinese discussion forum. We performed Chinese word segmentation for each query-reply pair. There are 1,333,877 pairs in the training set, 10,000 for validation and 1,000 for test. We take the most frequent 80,000 words in the training data as the vocabulary and other words as UNKs.

---

\(^3\)http://pytorch.org/
5 models. For each query-reply pair, volunteers are asked to rate it with a score from \{0,1,2\}. 2 means query and reply are highly related and the reply is fluent; 1 is for the reply that is related but not informative enough; 0 indicates that they are irrelevant or the reply contains grammatical errors. For each model, we calculate the ratio of each score (0, 1 and 2) and its average score as the human evaluation result.

4 Experimental Results

4.1 Automatic Evaluation

Table 2 gives an overview of the automatic evaluation on the five models. Compared with other baselines, S2S shows a poor performance on both BLEU and Distinct. It can be seen from the table that though S2SA+MMR improve the Distinct value comparing with S2SA, its BLEU is much lower than S2SA. It is apparent that the calibrated models (both S2SAC and S2SAC+MRR) significantly outperform the baselines on both BLEU-1~4 and Distinct-1~4, which verifies the effectiveness of our proposed approach. Compared with S2SA, S2SAC achieves 33% improvement on BLEU-4 and 37% improvement on Distinct-4. For S2SAC+MMR, it also shows a better performance than S2SA+MMR. The improvement raised by calibration mechanism on both S2SA and S2SAC+MMR indicates that the proposed framework is practical to be applied to any other generative models.

4.2 Human Evaluation

Table 3 demonstrates the results of the human evaluation. The ratio is calculated by combining all the annotations together. To examine the agreements among all the volunteers, we calculate the Fleiss’ kappa of the human annotations on the five models. The results are all around 0.35, which demonstrate the fair inter-human agreements. Compared with baselines, the calibrated models lower the ratio of score 0 and gain a larger ratio on score 2, indicating that our proposed model could generate more informative and diverse replies. The average scores of the five models also demonstrate the calibrated model significantly outperforms the baselines.

Figure 4: Score distribution of 10,000 query-reply pairs calculated by the calibration network. Horizontal axis denotes the score and the vertical axis means the number of pairs.

4.3 Analysis

The following paragraphs show some analysis on the performance of both calibration networks and the calibrated generation networks. We conduct an experiment to illustrate the score distribution produced by the calibration networks. We then show some cases to help verify the effectiveness of our proposed model.

Calibration score. In our proposed framework, we elaborate a calibration network to measure the quality of query-reply pairs. In this section, we give some analysis on the scores that the calibration network predicts in detail. For better visualization, we normalize the scores \( s \) by \( s_i = \frac{s_i - \min(s)}{\max(s) - \min(s)} \). The calibration network is designed to give a score in the range \([0, 1]\). We randomly sample 10,000 query-reply pairs from the training set and plot their scores. The score distribution is illustrated in Figure 4. It can be seen that these scores concentrates on range \([0.2, 0.6]\). There are around 10% cases whose score is lower than 0.2, which indicates that almost 10% training cases are of low quality. Thus it is essential to control the effect of those low-quality cases on the final model.

Case study of calibration network. To further analyze the calibration network qualitatively, we randomly choose two cases whose scores are lower than 0.2. As shown in Table...
Table 5: Cases of low scores given by the calibration network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Query</th>
<th>Reply</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 0.179 | 可是，我还是很喜欢北京
But I still love Beijing
very much.              | 注定孤独一生？
Destined to be alone forever？                                                                 |
| 0.154 | 皮肤不错，有点痘痘
没事的
Your complexion is
good. These pimples
doesn’t matter.         | 你参加过什么相亲节
目吗？
Have you joined any
dating shows？           |

5, the replies of the two cases are totally irrelevant to their replies. Such cases will mislead the generation network while training.

Case study of generation with calibration network. Table 4 compares S2SAC+MMR with S2SA and S2SAC using some examples. From the cases shown in it, we can see that the S2SA and S2SAC+MMR more or less suffer from generating replies that are lack of information or irrelevant to the given queries. As our S2SAC+MMR is trained under the guidance of a calibration network, it benefits more from those high quality data. Thus it is promoted to produce replies that are more informative and relevant.

5 Related Work

5.1 Generation Based Dialog System

With the growth of publicly available conversation data such as social media conversations, data-driven dialog system enjoys significant advances. Ritter et al. [2011] proposed a data-driven approach adapted phrase-based statistical machine translation for response generation. Inspired by that, Shang et al. [2015] employed seq2seq model for dialog system, which yielded excellent results. Recently, more and more researchers have focused on generation-based conversation system with seq2seq framework [Li et al., 2015; Song et al., 2017]. Their goals are to build interesting, intelligent and flexible dialog systems.

One common drawback of neural networks based dialog system is that the responses are highly repetitive and boring [Li et al., 2015; Song et al., 2017]. To enrich the diversity of response, Li et al. [2015] proposed a diversity-promoting objective function. Li et al. [2016] trained seq2seq model with user embedding to capture personality speaking style. Xing et al. [2017] used topic information to a joint attention to generate topic-related responses. Some other works also paid attention to dialog system with diversity, personality and knowledge-base. However, the existing generation models do not consider the quality differences in data. In this work, we focus on calibrating the generation network according to the quality of training samples.

5.2 Dialog Evaluation

Our calibration network adapts the automatic evaluation metrics for dialog system to evaluate the quality of data. Therefore, our work is closely related to the automatic evaluation of dialog systems. In this section, we briefly summarize some advanced automatic evaluation metrics.

Liu et al. [2016] revealed that traditional evaluation methods based on word-overlap (e.g., BLEU, METEOR, ROUGE) correlate weakly with human annotation. To address this problem, some researchers investigated neural network-based evaluation metrics. Lowe et al. [2017] learned the representation of context, model response and reference response using a pre-trained model, and then optimized a score function to calculate an evaluation result. Tao et al. [2017] proposed a referenced and unreferenced blended evaluation routine (RUBER) for dialog system. Both of the above-mentioned methods show a high correlation between model scoring and human scoring.

5.3 Instance Weighting

Instance weighting is a line of research that assigns instance-dependent weights to the loss function. Previous research adopted this method to the domain adaption tasks in NLP [Jiang and Zhai, 2007; Rebba pragada and Brodley, 2007; Wang et al., 2017] to address the noise label issue. In domain adaption tasks, noise data is clearly defined and could easily be distinguished between clean data. Unlike domain adaption tasks, the “noise data” for training a conversation system is difficult to define, as the conversation data is of high diversity. Lison and Bibauw [2017] proposed to investigate instance weighting into retrieval-based dialog system. In this work, we elaborate a calibration network to measure the quality of data, and then incorporate the weight given by it into the generation model in an efficient and effective way.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we consider the training data quality for the open-domain dialog systems. To address the noisy training data problem, we propose a generation with calibration framework to measure the qualities of the training instances and utilize the information to improve the training of the generation model. Experiments show that our framework outperforms the traditional generation models on both automatic evaluation and human evaluation metrics.

In the future, we plan to study the effectiveness of the calibration framework on multi-turn dialog systems. Besides, we would like to apply the calibration network to retrieval-based dialog system, and investigate whether the calibration network and the generation network can be mutually beneficial by joint training.
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